



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ORTHO-A-CIP-PCT.US 4870 10/089,105 02/19/1998 David B Kay

08/27/2003

Hudak & Shunk 7 West Bowery Street Suite 808 Akron, OH 44308-1133

**EXAMINER** WOO, JULIAN W

PAPER NUMBER

6

3731 DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			M	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/089,105	KAY, DAVID B	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Julian W. Woo	3731	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 C	October 2002 .		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
· · · · ·	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
·	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
-	on Papers	<b>,</b>		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 February 1998 is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:			
	Certified copies of the priority documents			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachmen	_			
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/089,105

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

Page 3 (of 3) of the drawings, which includes figures 8 and 9, is missing.
 Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81. No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing.

## **Double Patenting**

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 3. Claims 1-4 and 7-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-16 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,662,683. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/089,105

Art Unit: 3731

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,662,683 in view of DeCaro (4,762,453). U.S. Patent No. 5, 662,683 discloses the invention substantially as claimed, but does not disclose a modular head with an internal hex that cooperates with the open helical structure of the anchor. DeCaro teaches, in figure 9, a modular head (12) with an internal hex (19) that cooperates with an open helical structure (40). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to include a modular head as taught by DeCaro in the anchor of U.S. Patent No. 5,662,683. Such an attachment head would provide a means to distribute pressure on tissue by providing a wider surface area of contact. An internal hex in the head would provide a convenient means for installing the anchor with a readily available allen wrench.

#### **Conclusion**

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Limpert (2,033,039), Miles et al. (2,391,792), and Schmieding (5,626,613) teach helical anchors.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/089,105

Art Unit: 3731

Page 4

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian W. Woo whose telephone number is (703) 308-0421. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri., 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM Eastern Time, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached at (703) 308-2496.

General inquiries relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-0858. The official FAX number is (703) 872-9302.

Julian W. Woo Primary Examiner

Julian W. Woo

August 23, 2003